PHIL 347 Week 6 Discussion 2: Empirical Reasoning

(Including Follow-ups)

Required Resources

Read/review the following resources for this activity:

- Textbook: Chapter 10
- Lesson
- Link (library article): Myopia and Ambient Lighting at Night (Links to an external site.)
- Link (library article): Myopia and Ambient Night-Time Lighting (Links to an external site.)
- Link (website): What Are Clinical Trials and Studies? (Links to an external site.)
- Minimum of 1 scholarly source

Initial Post Instructions

As the text points out, causal reasoning is used in clinical studies. As a professional in the health field, you will undoubtedly be referring to cause/effect studies for the rest of your professional life. In this discussion, you are asked to expand and deepen your understanding of clinical studies.

In 1999, a study on the causes of myopia appeared in the prestigious journal *Nature* (Quinn). The study received wide-spread publicity in leading newspapers, such as the *New York Times*, and on television outlets, such as CBS and CNN. Within a year, another article in *Nature* followed up the 1999 study (Zadnik). The studies had dramatically different findings.

Using what you have learned from the text, as well as any other sources you may find useful (including the website in the **Required Resources**), analyze and evaluate the methodology of both studies andhow methodology affected the differences in how the studies were reported. Reportage of both studies can be found with an Internet search using all of the following terms:<**Philadelphia myopia night lights**>.

The submissions for this assignment are posts in the assignment's discussion. Below are the discussion posts for Nursing Student, or you can <u>view the full discussion</u>.

from Week 6 Discussion 2: Empirical Reasoning Jun 10, 2020 7:35pm