

## **Week 6 Discussion 1: Comparative Reasoning: [Follow-ups](#)**

### **Initial Post Instructions**

For the initial post, research the history of the association of doctors with tobacco companies and tobacco advertising. Read about the association of doctors with the opioid crisis. Then, address the following:

- In what way are the two situations comparable?
- In what way are they different?
- Apply the concept of moral equivalence. Is the conduct of doctors in relation to smoking and the tobacco industry morally equivalent to the conduct of doctors in the opioid crisis? Explain your position and be very specific.

### **Follow-Up Post Instructions**

Respond to at least one peer. Further the dialogue by providing more information and clarification.

The submissions for this assignment are posts in the assignment's discussion. Below are the discussion posts for Nursing Student, or you can [view the full discussion](#).

from [Week 6 Discussion 1: Comparative Reasoning](#)

Hello Class!

Medical professionals association with the tobacco industry and the opioid industry are comparable in several ways. The tobacco industry used doctors as a poster child to push their product while also downplaying the harmful effects of their product such as the cigarette brand Camel that advertised “more doctors smoke Camels than any other cigarette” (Gardner). The