This assignment will incorporate a common practical tool in helping clinicians begin to ethically analyze a case. Organizing the data in this way will help you apply the four principles of principlism.

Based on the "Case Study: Healing and Autonomy" and other required topic study materials, you will complete the "Applying the Four Principles: Case Study" document that includes the following:

Part 1: Chart

This chart will formalize principlism and the four-boxes approach by organizing the data from the case study according to the relevant principles of biomedical ethics: autonomy, beneficence, nonmaleficence, and justice.

Part 2: Evaluation

This part includes questions, to be answered in a total of 500 words, that describe how principalism would be applied according to the Christian worldview.

There are many pressing issues associated with this case study under the Christian narrative, and it's important to recognize that there are various versions of the Christian faith with each different denomination interpreting Christianity in a different interpretation. Baptists are different than Protestants, Catholics have different variations than Presbyterians, etc. Therefore, some denominations would emphasize the fact that God places medicine and doctors were placed on earth to provide healing for people through God's guidance. This would mean that it is okay to receive care for serious illnesses to prevent deaths or serious injury because the doctors are working on behalf of God. In contrast, Jehovah's Witnesses disavow blood transfusions and other vital treatments that could save lives because of their "Christian" beliefs, which is why (you) simply can't place a blanket narrative over the different Christian faiths.

Nevertheless, some of the Christian issues on display are the moral and ethical issues associated with determining to forgo treatment based upon beliefs alone, which resulted in an innocent child being placed in danger and potentially receiving a death sentence because the parents refused treatment. Another important issue that is raised in an ethical dilemma associated with taking the kidney from one child to give to another. Because the child is not old enough to consent to his kidney being given to his brother to allow him to survive, it causes serious ethical concerns and could even be against

Case study: healing and autonomy Mike and Joanne need to make a very important decision on son James and its care. They want to confirm that their son is being taken care of, but want to walk along the path of God. Mike posted James' treatment and decided to wait and believe in God. When they were waiting for treatment, James' condition was getting worse so they let James go through dialysis without being asked. Mike is struggling to find out if God is trying his faith or whether God is punishing him. He needs to understand it and make sure he does the right thing for his son James. Some of the problems that arise in all these processes are principles of sincerity. The doctor has the right to provide all the options to parents and explain the risks and benefits. If he does not do this, he informs this in violation of his duty as a doctor. This may be the right choice for them, but in the end it will hurt James and hurt him more.

Case Study: Treatment and Autonomous Case Study: As a healing and self-governing Christian, it is essential to balance spiritual life with material life. For many Christians, faith believes that God will